



## SANDY SPRINGS

GEORGIA

### P&Z STAFF REPORT

Board of Appeals Meeting, July 14, 2020

Case: **V20-0001 – 1190 & 1194 Hope Road**  
Staff Contact: Madalyn Smith (madalyn.smith@sandyspringsga.gov)  
Report Date: June 30, 2020

#### REQUEST

Request for a Variance from Sec. 6.4.2. to reduce the required Protected Neighborhood Transition Buffer from 25 feet to five (5) feet at 1190 & 1194 Hope Road.

#### APPLICANT

|                                      |                                                                         |                                   |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Property Owner:<br>Ariadne Nikiforou | Petitioner:<br>Callicott Custom Homes, LCC<br>(contact: Gary Callicott) | Representative:<br>Pete Hendricks |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

#### SUMMARY

The applicant requests a Variance to reduce the required Protected Neighborhood Transition Buffer from 25 feet to five (5) feet at 1190 & 1194 Hope Road. 1194 is adjacent to 1196 Hope Road, which is in the RE-1 zoning district and Urban Neighborhood Character Area. The application of the code significantly limits density in an area of Sandy Springs where dense infill development is envisioned as appropriate by The Next Ten. 1196 Hope Road is one of two remaining, isolated RE-1 properties on Hope Road. The intent of transition buffers is not to limit development adjacent to isolated single unit detached properties but rather to prevent encroachment and adverse impacts within established single family neighborhoods.

#### RECOMMENDATION

##### Department of Community Development

Staff recommends **Approval** of **Variance V20-0001**.

#### MATERIALS SUBMITTED AND REVIEWED

##### *Materials:*

1. Application, received January 2, 2020
2. Updated Letter of Intent and Variance Analysis, received January 8, 2020
3. Updated application information, received March 10, 2020


##### *Plans:*

1. "ALTA/ACSM Survey For: Minerva," prepared by LandPro Surveying and Mapping, signed and sealed by James H. Rader, R.L.S., dated August 22, 2014, received January 2, 2020

2. Updated "Hope Road Tract Concept Site Plan," prepared by Cornerstone Design & Development, Inc., dated October 23, 2019, received January 9, 2020
3. Updated "Hope Road Tract Concept Site Plan," prepared by Cornerstone Design & Development, Inc., received March 10, 2020

| PROPERTY INFORMATION  |                                                                                       |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location:             | 1190 Hope Road (Parcel # 06 036300040376) & 1194 Hope Road (Parcel # 06 036300040244) |
| Council District:     | 2 – Soteres                                                                           |
| Road frontage:        | Approximately 200 feet of frontage on Hope Road                                       |
| Acreage:              | Approximately 1.42 acres                                                              |
| Current Zoning:       | RT-3 (Residential Townhouse, 3 stories maximum height)                                |
| Existing Land Use:    | Single unit detached residences                                                       |
| Previous Zoning Case: | Zoning Map Amendment (Rezoning) RZ19-0001 was approved.                               |
| Character Area:       | Urban Neighborhood                                                                    |

**SITE PLAN** (received March 10, 2020) (full size Site Plan in Package)



**PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

The petitioner applied for a Zoning Map Amendment (Rezoning) of 1190 & 1194 Hope Road from RE-1 to RT-3, which was approved on July 16, 2019.

The applicant proposes to develop the sites with 16 townhomes in the Cottage Court development pattern. This is a development pattern that promotes diverse housing options, encourages density, and requires common greenspace. The development pattern has not yet been utilized in Sandy Springs, but has in other Atlanta Metro cities, such as Marietta.




Aerial view of a similar development, Mountain View Townhomes, in Marietta, GA



View of the townhomes around the common greenspace

An adjacent property, 1196 Hope Road is zoned RE-1. Thus, the Protected Neighborhood Transition Buffer applies between this property and 1190/1194 Hope Road. As shown in the figure below, the application of the undisturbed buffer would eliminate several townhomes, reducing the density.



Conceptual Site Plan for 1190 & 1194 Hope Road. The orange delineates the undisturbed Landscape Buffer and the yellow delineates the Compatible Massing Buffer.

| <b>EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES OF PROPERTY IN THE VICINITY</b> |                                                        |                                                  |                                        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| <b>Location relative to subject property</b>                     | <b>Zoning / Land use</b>                               | <b>Address(es)</b>                               | <b>Land area (acres) (approximate)</b> |
| North                                                            | OX-3 / Office<br>RE-1 / Single unit detached residence | 8850 Dunwoody Place NE<br>1196 Hope Road         | 0.64<br>0.17                           |
| East                                                             | RM-3/8 / Multi-unit residential                        | 8800 Dunwoody Place (Legacy Key Apartment Homes) | 18.14                                  |
| South                                                            | RT-3 / Single unit detached residence                  | 1188 Hope Road                                   | 0.54                                   |
| West                                                             | RT-3 / Townhouse                                       | 56 Huntington Place Drive                        | 0.47                                   |
| <b>PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</b>                                      |                                                        |                                                  |                                        |

|    |                  |                       |      |
|----|------------------|-----------------------|------|
| -- | RT-3 / Townhouse | 1190 & 1194 Hope Road | 1.42 |
|----|------------------|-----------------------|------|

**AERIAL IMAGE**



**EXISTING CONDITIONS (All photographs by Alexandra Horst, June 1, 2019)**

The following images show the existing conditions of 1190, 1194, & 1196 Hope Road.



View of 1190 Hope Road from Hope Road



View of 1190 Hope Road from Hope Road



View of 1194 Hope Road from Hope Road



View of both 1190 & 1194 Hope Road from Hope Road




View of both 1194 & 1190 Hope Road from 1194 Hope Road




View towards 1196 Hope Road from 1194 Hope Road


**ZONING MAP**



**CHARACTER AREA MAP**



1190 & 1194 HOPE RD




| Stream Buffer |         | Character Areas |                         |
|---------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|
|               | 25 feet |                 | Commercial/Mixed Use    |
|               | 50 feet |                 | Urban Neighborhood      |
|               | 75 feet |                 | Variance Case: V20-0001 |

## VARIANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Per Sec. 11.3.6.G. of the Development Code, the following list of approval criteria for a Variance provides guidance for making decisions on approval:

1. *Variances will only be granted upon showing that:*
  - a. *The application of this Development Code would create an unnecessary hardship, and not merely an inconvenience to the applicant; or*
  - b. *There are extraordinary and exceptional conditions due to the size, shape, or topography, which are specific to the subject property and not generally found in similar properties;*

Finding: The application of the full 25-foot Landscape Buffer would require 3,750 square feet of the approximately 62,000-square foot lot to be an undisturbed Landscape Buffer. An additional 30-foot Compatible Massing Buffer restricts the height of development to 28 feet. In total, the Protected Neighborhood transition area is 55 feet in depth and an area of 8,250 square feet.



As seen in the graphic above, the undisturbed buffer would eliminate the alley, preventing half the townhomes in the development from rear access. Even if the applicant eliminated direct access for half the townhomes, the Compatible Massing Buffer would limit the rear half of the townhomes to a height of 24 feet which is not desirable or realistic from a design perspective. The application of the 25-foot undisturbed buffer essentially eliminates half the proposed townhomes and does not allow the applicant to build according to the Development Code's prescription for a "Cottage Court" development pattern. The site is also too narrow to allow the applicant to simply shift the development outside of the transition buffers. For this reason, Staff believes there is a hardship.

***Further, the application must demonstrate that:***

***a. Such conditions are not the result of action or inaction of the current property owner; and***

Finding: The conditions are not the result of action nor inaction of the current property owner.

***b. The Variance request would provide the minimum relief necessary to make possible the reasonable use of the property; and***

Finding: Hope Road is primarily commercial and medium-to-high density residential, save for two isolated RE-1 zoned properties, 1196 and 1145 Hope Road. It is important to note that both of these remnant residential lots are deliberately within the *Urban Neighborhood* Character Area, not the Protected Neighborhood Character Area, and was envisioned they would eventually be developed in a more intense way.

Due to the dimensional requirements for open space in the Cottage Court Development pattern, the required 25 foot transitional buffer eliminates at least six (6) units. The applicant's request to reduce the buffer to five (5) feet would provide relief and the opportunity to maximize the density in one of the few areas in Sandy Springs in which dense infill development is envisioned as appropriate by The Next Ten.

***c. The Variance request would result in development that is consistent with the general intent of this Development Code, with the Comprehensive Plan policies, and would not be detrimental to the public good, safety and welfare.***

Finding: Per Section 1.1.5., the intent of the Development Code is to guide reinvestment in established neighborhoods that enhances their unique characteristics, promote diverse housing options, and provide building and site design standards address the public aspects of private development and how building form, placement, and uses contribute to the quality of the public realm.

This Variance request would better allow the applicant to increase density in an appropriate area that is zoned for urban neighborhoods with residential attached housing units. The location is also in close proximity to transit and retail and the applicant is utilizing the Cottage Court development pattern, which is promoted by the Code. For these reasons, Staff believes the proposal supports the above stated intent of the Development Code.

It is Staff's belief that the variance request would not be detrimental to the public good, safety, and welfare.

The request supports the intent of the Development Code and is also consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, as explained below:

According to page 104 of The Next Ten Comprehensive Plan, "Development outside the edges of protected neighborhoods will be carefully managed to prevent encroachment of non-residential or higher-intensity residential uses into this character area and to ensure compatible transitions between land uses and development intensities." The tool used to implement this portion of The Next Ten are the Protected Neighborhood Transition standards in Sec. 6.4.2. and 6.4.3. of the Development Code.

The purpose of this transition is not to protect individual single unit detached residences in an area planned for higher-density development. Rather, it is to prevent encroachment and adverse impacts within established single family neighborhoods.

Page 106 of The Next Ten states, "redevelopment within [Urban Neighborhood] will be critical to achieving the Comprehensive Plan goal of expanding the range of available housing options," and "... rather than following the form and development patterns established by existing multifamily and townhome development, redevelopment within [Urban Neighborhood] will result in more compact,

connected and walkable neighborhoods that maximize proximity to mixed use development along Roswell Road, in the Perimeter Center and MARTA station areas.”

The applicant’s proposal to replace two aging single unit detached homes and construct townhomes expands the range of housing options available in Sandy Springs. The applicant’s use of the Cottage Court Development Pattern would be unique along Hope Road, where previous townhomes are centered around driveways and have very little greenspace. Cottage Court also allows a more compact site that is within proximity to transit and Roswell Road. Staff finds the request to reduce the buffer to be in support of the goals and intent of The Next Ten.

### **COMMENTS FROM OTHER PARTIES**

#### **Public Works Department:**

1. Streetscape improvements, as required by the Sandy Springs Development Code, shall be constructed and shown on the site plan.
2. Required on-street parking should be shown on site plan.
3. Appropriate ROW (minimum 40-48 feet to centerline) along Hope Road should be shown on site plan/dedicated.
4. Driveways shall meet ADA crossing standards.
5. Driveways shall meet all spacing requirements outlined in Section 3 of the Sandy Springs Technical Manual.
6. The project shall only have one access point. The maximum number of driveways permitted for a single project is one for each 200 feet of property frontage.
7. For the driveway, the radius return must be a minimum of five feet from the property line.
8. The streets serving the residential development shall meet city street and access design standards outlined in Section 3 of the Sandy Springs Technical Manual.
9. The proposed alley on project site should adhere to roadway design and pavement standards, including minimum turning radii and right of way requirements, outlined in Section 3 of the Sandy Springs Technical Manual.
10. Parking shall be provided within the development for residents and visitors.


#### **Correspondence Received:**

1 public comment was received in opposition stating  
“How many bites at the Apple will this applicant have on Hope RD. This was denied going from no buffer to offering 5 ft. When the rule is 25 ft. Is silly. They need to reduce number of homes or by more land not harass the city for a variance.”



**DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RECOMMENDATION**

Overall, Staff finds that the proposed development offers an opportunity for higher density infill development as envisioned for the Urban Neighborhood Character Area, and the granting of the requested Variance would provide the applicant with relief to more realistically develop according to the Development Code's prescription for a "Cottage Court" product. For these reasons, Staff recommends **Approval** of **Variance** V20-0001, request for a Variance from Sec. 6.4.2. to reduce the required Protected Neighborhood Transition Buffer from 25 feet to five (5) feet, subject to the following **condition**:


1. The proposed townhome project must utilize the Cottage Court Development Pattern as described in Division 6.3 of the Development Code.




**Received:**  
 3/10/2020  
**City of Sandy Springs**  
**Community Development Department**



- GENERAL NOTES**
1. THIS TRACT CONTAINS 1.42 ACRES AND IS ZONED RE-1.
  2. THE PROPOSED ZONING IS COTTAGE.
  3. THIS LAYOUT CONTAINS 14 UNITS FOR DENSITY OF 9.86 LOTS/ACRE.
  4. THIS PROPERTY OWNED AND DEVELOPED BY:  
CALLIGOTT HOLDINGS  
PHONE: 770-851-3364  
CONTACT: GARY CALLIGOTT
  5. THE MINIMUM SETBACK FROM A PRIMARY STREET IS 15 FEET; THE MINIMUM LOT AREA IS 1200 SF; THE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH IS 22 FEET.
  6. THE MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRED IS 32. THERE ARE 36 SPACES PROVIDED. EACH UNIT SHALL HAVE A TWO CAR GARAGE AND 4 GUEST SPACES ARE PROVIDED. THERE ARE 28 GUEST SPACES REQUIRED (14 x 0.2 = 2.8).
  7. 8,400 SF OF COMMON SPACE IS REQUIRED (16 x 600). 21,354 SF ARE PROVIDED.



**SIGHT DISTANCE PROFILE**  
 SCALE: 1" = 50' HOR.  
 1" = 10' VERT.



**TYPICAL LOT LAYOUT**  
 NOT TO SCALE  
 NOTE: MINIMUM LOT AREA = 1201 SF (22x54.6)

**CORNERSTONE DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC.**  
 106 SIMONSON ROAD SE  
 LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30046  
 PHONE: 770.961.1007  
 EMAIL: KIM@CORNERSTONEDESIGN.COM  
 CONTACT: DARRELL VAUGHN

**CONCEPT SITE PLAN**

PLAN SCALE: 1" = 20'

**HOPE ROAD TRACT**  
 LAND LOT 363, 6TH DISTRICT  
 FULLTON COUNTY, GEORGIA  
 CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS

REVISIONS:

|    |                |                                         |
|----|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
| #1 | JAN 8, 2020    | REVISE BUFFERS ADJACENT TO RE-1 ZONING  |
| #2 | MARCH 4, 2020  | REVISE TO 14 TOTAL UNITS                |
| #3 | MARCH 10, 2020 | ADD SIGHT DISTANCE PROFILE BUFFER TREES |



SHEET NO. **1**  
 OF **3**  
 PROJ. NO. HOPE ROAD  
 DATE: OCT. 23, 2019

Received:  
3/10/2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department

EXISTING CONDITION NOTES

1. THERE ARE NO FLOOD PLAINS OR WETLANDS ON THIS SITE.
2. THERE ARE NO SIGNIFICANT HISTORICAL, CULTURAL, OR ARCHEOLOGICAL FEATURES ON THIS SITE.
3. THERE ARE NO ADJACENT DESIGNATED OPEN SPACES.
4. THERE ARE NO SCENIC VISTAS ON THIS SITE.



CORNERSTONE DESIGN &  
DEVELOPMENT, INC.  
106 SIMONSON ROAD SE  
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30046  
PHONE: 404.581.2007  
EMAIL: KIM.DARRELL@BELLSOUTH.NET  
CONTACT: DARRELL VAUGHN

PROPOSED  
STORMWATER  
DETENTION  
PLAN

PLAN SCALE: 1" = 20'



HOPE ROAD TRACT  
LAND LOT 363, 6TH DISTRICT  
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA  
CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS

REVISIONS:

SHEET NO.  
OF 3 2

PROJ. NO.  
DATE: MARCH 5, 2020

- EXISTING CONDITION NOTES
1. THERE ARE NO FLOOD PLAINS OR WETLANDS ON THIS SITE.
  2. THERE ARE NO SIGNIFICANT HISTORICAL, CULTURAL, OR ARCHEOLOGICAL FEATURES ON THIS SITE.
  3. THERE ARE NO ADJACENT DESIGNATED OPEN SPACES.
  4. THERE ARE NO SCENIC VISTAS ON THIS SITE.



CORNERSTONE DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC.  
106 SIMONSON ROAD SE  
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30046  
PHONE: 770.964.2007  
EMAIL: KIM@CORNERSTONEDESIGN.COM  
CONTACT: DARRELL VAUGHN

PROPOSED STORMWATER RUNOFF AND TSS REDUCTION PLAN

PLAN SCALE: 1" = 60'

HOPE ROAD TRACT  
LAND LOT 363, 6TH DISTRICT  
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA  
CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS

REVISIONS:

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

SHEET NO.  
OF 3  
3

PROJ. NO.  
DATE: MARCH 5, 2020


Received:

3/10/2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department

# Cornerstone

Design & Development



Engineering Land Planning Construction Management

November 18, 2019

Gary Callicott

Re: 1194 Hope Road

Dear Mr. Callicott,

*In regards to your request for information on requesting a variance from Sandy Springs Code Section 3.B.12.A which requires a minimum separation of two hundred and fifty feet between driveways, the following items should be noted.*

*Firstly, the Cottage Court Zoning requires two entrances, but allows for a minimum of two hundred feet of frontage, Therefore to be in compliance with both portions of the zoning requirements, a variance will be required. Also, your site is composed of two tracts each of which has an existing driveway onto Hope Road.*

*The posted speed limit on Hope Road is thirty miles per hour which requires a minimum sight distance of three hundred and thirty-five feet. The sight distance from the southern drive of your site toward the south exceeds four hundred and fifty feet. The minimum sight distance from your northern drive toward the north is approximately two hundred and forty feet to the intersection of Hope Road and Dunwoody Place. This intersection is controlled by stop signs and therefore will allow for adequate sight distance.*

*The driveway curb radii are in compliance with AASHTO "Design Guidelines for Very Low Volume Roads".*

*If you need any additional information, please contact me.*


*Sincerely,*

*E. Darrell Vaughn, PE*

*Eph. 2:20*

*196 Simonton Road, SE Lawrenceville, GA 30046 Mob 404-561-4267 email: kmvdarrell@bellsouth.net*

- d. *Driveway spacing.* Driveways should align with other driveways located on the opposite side of the roadway. If offset driveways cannot be avoided, the same driveway spacing criteria as given in Table 3-9 should be provided, to provide space for left turns. Figure 3.5 shows how the spacing is measured for locating offset driveways on undivided roadways. Spacing is from center to center.



**Figure 3.5: Spacing of Offset Driveways**

If the city roadway involved is a divided facility and the driveways do not align with a median crossover, the driveway spacing would only apply to the adjacent driveway located on the same side of the roadway as shown above in Figure 3.5.

- e. *Maximum number of driveways serving a single project:* One for each full 200 feet of property frontage. This is not meant to be a spacing standard but only an expression of the maximum number of driveways that are permitted serving a single project.
13. *Raised islands.* Raised islands associated with entrance improvements shall be designed in accordance with the standards provided herein.
  14. *Right-in, right-out driveways.*

12. *Separation and spacing.* All driveways except those serving residential units on individual lots shall be recommended to meet the following criteria:

- a. Spacing requirements, associated with the construction of new driveways, are provided in the following Table 3-9. Driveways should be separated from any other facility, which accesses a city roadway, whether it is another driveway or a public street. Minimum spacing requirements also apply to driveways on the opposite side of undivided roadways.




Figure 3.3: Spacing Criteria for Driveways

Table 3-9. Driveway Spacing Criteria

| POSTED Speed (MPH) | MINIMUM DRIVEWAY SPACING (FT) <sup>(1)</sup> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 25                 | 200                                          |
| 30                 | 250                                          |
| 35                 | 300                                          |
| 40                 | 300                                          |
| 45                 | 300                                          |

Received:  
3/10/2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department

# Hydrograph Return Period Recap

| Hyd. No. | Hydrograph type (origin) | Inflow Hyd(s) | Peak Outflow (cfs) |      |       |      |       |       |       |        | Hydrograph description |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------------------|
|          |                          |               | 1-Yr               | 2-Yr | 3-Yr  | 5-Yr | 10-Yr | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |                        |
| 1        | SCS Runoff               | -----         | 0.33               | 0.68 | ----- | 1.43 | 2.19  | 3.39  | 4.43  | 5.55   | PREDEV                 |
| 2        | SCS Runoff               | -----         | 2.95               | 3.59 | ----- | 4.71 | 5.67  | 7.05  | 8.16  | 9.27   | POST DEV TO DETENTION  |
| 3        | Reservoir                | 2             | 0.04               | 0.04 | ----- | 0.11 | 0.29  | 0.85  | 1.54  | 3.82   | DETENTION OUTLET       |
| 4        | SCS Runoff               | -----         | 0.09               | 0.17 | ----- | 0.35 | 0.52  | 0.80  | 1.04  | 1.29   | BYPASSING              |
| 5        | Combine                  | 3, 4          | 0.11               | 0.20 | ----- | 0.38 | 0.56  | 0.94  | 1.68  | 3.99   | TOTAL POST DEV FLOW    |

# Pond Report

## Pond No. 1 - DETENTION FACILITY

### Pond Data

Pipe dia. = 4.50 ft    Pipe length = 210.0 ft    No. Barrels = 3.0    Slope = 0.05 %    Invert elev. = 962.00 ft

### Stage / Storage Table

| Stage (ft) | Elevation (ft) | Contour area (sqft) | Incr. Storage (cuft) | Total storage (cuft) |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0.00       | 962.00         | 00                  | 0                    | 0                    |
| 0.23       | 962.23         | 00                  | 141                  | 141                  |
| 0.46       | 962.46         | 00                  | 338                  | 479                  |
| 0.69       | 962.69         | 00                  | 441                  | 920                  |
| 0.92       | 962.92         | 00                  | 515                  | 1,435                |
| 1.15       | 963.15         | 00                  | 570                  | 2,005                |
| 1.38       | 963.38         | 00                  | 612                  | 2,618                |
| 1.61       | 963.61         | 00                  | 644                  | 3,261                |
| 1.84       | 963.84         | 00                  | 667                  | 3,928                |
| 2.07       | 964.07         | 00                  | 681                  | 4,610                |
| 2.30       | 964.30         | 00                  | 689                  | 5,298                |
| 2.53       | 964.53         | 00                  | 689                  | 5,987                |
| 2.76       | 964.76         | 00                  | 681                  | 6,668                |
| 2.99       | 964.99         | 00                  | 667                  | 7,335                |
| 3.22       | 965.22         | 00                  | 646                  | 7,981                |
| 3.45       | 965.45         | 00                  | 611                  | 8,591                |
| 3.68       | 965.68         | 00                  | 570                  | 9,161                |
| 3.91       | 965.91         | 00                  | 515                  | 9,675                |
| 4.14       | 966.14         | 00                  | 441                  | 10,116               |
| 4.37       | 966.37         | 00                  | 338                  | 10,453               |
| 4.60       | 966.61         | 00                  | 141                  | 10,594               |

### Culvert / Orifice Structures


|                 | [A]      | [B]    | [C]  | [D]  |
|-----------------|----------|--------|------|------|
| Rise (in)       | = 1.00   | 12.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Span (in)       | = 1.00   | 12.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| No. Barrels     | = 1      | 1      | 0    | 0    |
| Invert El. (ft) | = 962.00 | 965.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Length (ft)     | = 0.00   | 10.00  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Slope (%)       | = 0.00   | 1.00   | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| N-Value         | = .013   | .013   | .000 | .000 |
| Orif. Coeff.    | = 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Multi-Stage     | = n/a    | No     | No   | No   |

### Weir Structures

|                | [A]    | [B]  | [C]  | [D]  |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Crest Len (ft) | = 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Crest El. (ft) | = 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Weir Coeff.    | = 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Weir Type      | = ---  | ---  | ---  | ---  |
| Multi-Stage    | = No   | No   | No   | No   |

Exfiltration = 0.000 in/hr (Wet area) Tailwater Elev. = 0.00 ft

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows have been analyzed under inlet and outlet control.



# Georgia Stormwater Management Manual

## Stormwater Quality Site Development Review Tool

### Version 2.2

#### General Information

|                          |                   |                             |                                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Name of Developer:       | Gary Calicott     | Date Submitted:             |                                         |
| Development Name:        | Hope Road         | Permit Number:              |                                         |
| Site Location / Address: | Hope Road         | Developer Contact:          |                                         |
|                          | Sandy Springa, GA | Phone Number:               |                                         |
| Development Type:        |                   | Name of Engineer(s):        | Cornerstone Design and Development, Inc |
|                          |                   | Maintenance Responsibility: |                                         |

#### Site Summary



Total Pre-Development Area (ac): **1.42**  
 Total Post-Development Area (ac): **1.39**  
 Total Treated Area (ac): **1.11**  
 Total Untreated Area (ac): **0.28**

|                   |       | I (ac)      | P (ac)      | CA (ac)     |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Site              | DB 1  | 0.66        | 0.14        | 0.31        |
| Drainage Basin 2  | DB 2  | 0.00        | 0.28        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 3  | DB 3  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 4  | DB 4  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 5  | DB 5  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 6  | DB 6  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 7  | DB 7  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 8  | DB 8  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 9  | DB 9  | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| Drainage Basin 10 | DB 10 | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>      |       | <b>0.66</b> | <b>0.42</b> | <b>0.31</b> |

I = Impervious Area, P = Pervious Area, CA = Conservation Area

Target Runoff Reduction Volume Achieved? **Yes**  
 Target TSS Removal Achieved? **Yes**

Total Target Runoff Reduction Volume (cf) 2,090  
 Runoff Reduction Volume Achieved (cf) 2,092  
 Total Target Water Quality Volume (cf) 2,100  
 % TSS Removal Achieved 100%



#### Official Use Only

Tracking #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reviewed By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Conditions of Approval: \_\_\_\_\_

A RECORDED CONSERVATION EASEMENT OR SIMILAR FORM OF PROTECTION IS REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT

# Georgia Stormwater Management Manual

## Stormwater Quality Site Development Review Tool, v2.2

Development Name:   
 Drainage Basin Name:

data input cells  
 calculation cells  
 constant values

### Site Data

#### Indicate Pre-Development Land Cover and Runoff Curve Numbers in the Site's Disturbed Area

| Cover Type                  | HSG* A (acres) | CN | HSG B (acres) | CN | HSG C (acres) | CN | HSG D (acres) | CN | Total | % Cover |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|----|-------|---------|
| Woods - Good Condition      |                | 30 | 1.14          | 55 |               | 70 |               | 77 | 1.14  | 100%    |
| Select a land cover type... |                | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Select a land cover type... |                | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Select a land cover type... |                | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Select a land cover type... |                | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Local Jurisdiction Input    |                |    |               |    |               |    |               |    | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Other                       |                |    |               |    |               |    |               |    | 0.00  | 0%      |
| <b>Total</b>                | 0.00           |    | 1.14          |    | 0.00          |    | 0.00          |    | 1.14  | 100%    |

\*HSG = hydrologic soil group

|                                                     |      |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
| Impervious (ac)                                     | 0.00 |
| Weighted CN                                         | 55   |
| Potential Max Soil Retention, S <sub>pre</sub> (in) | 8.18 |

#### Indicate Post-Development Land Cover and Runoff Curve Numbers in the Site's Disturbed Area

| Cover Type                                      | HSG A (acres) | CN | HSG B (acres) | CN | HSG C (acres) | CN | HSG D (acres) | CN | Total | % Cover |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|----|---------------|----|-------|---------|
| Impervious                                      |               | 98 | 0.66          | 98 |               | 98 |               | 98 | 0.66  | 59%     |
| Open space - Good condition (grass cover > 75%) |               | 39 | 0.45          | 61 |               | 74 |               | 80 | 0.45  | 41%     |
| Woods - Good Condition                          |               | 30 | 0.00          | 55 |               | 70 |               | 77 | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Select a land cover type...                     |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Select a land cover type...                     |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  |               | 0  | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Local Jurisdiction Input                        |               |    |               |    |               |    |               |    | 0.00  | 0%      |
| Other                                           |               |    |               |    |               |    |               |    | 0.00  | 0%      |
| <b>Total</b>                                    | 0.00          |    | 1.11          |    | 0.00          |    | 0.00          |    | 1.11  | 100%    |

|                                                      |      |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Impervious (ac)                                      | 0.66 |
| Rv                                                   | 0.59 |
| Weighted CN                                          | 83   |
| Potential Max Soil Retention, S <sub>post</sub> (in) | 2.05 |

### Conservation Area Credits

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>Scenario 1: Natural Conservation Area</b> *See the GSMM Volume 2, Section 2.3.3.3 for more information.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check the box if a portion of the post-developed area is protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection.</p> <p style="background-color: #92d050; padding: 2px;">0.31</p> <p>Area (ac) of development protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection. <span style="color: red; font-size: small;">Note: The green cell will unlock if the Scenario 1 box above is checked</span></p>                                                                   | <p><b>Scenario 3: Soil Restoration</b> *See the GSMM Volume 2, Section 4.23 for more information.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check the box if a portion of the post-developed area employs <u>soil restoration</u> and is protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection.</p> <p style="background-color: #92d050; padding: 2px;"></p> <p>Area (ac) of development with restored soils and protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection. <span style="color: red; font-size: small;">Note: The green cell will unlock if the Scenario 3 box above is checked</span></p>                                                                                                                    |
| <p><b>Scenario 2: Site Reforestation/Revegetation</b> *See the GSMM Volume 2, Section 4.22 for more information.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check the box if a portion of the post-developed area employs <u>site reforestation/revegetation</u> and is protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection.</p> <p style="background-color: #92d050; padding: 2px;"></p> <p>Area (ac) of development reforested/revegetated and protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection. <span style="color: red; font-size: small;">Note: The green cell will unlock if the Scenario 2 box above is checked</span></p> | <p><b>Scenario 4: Site Reforestation/Revegetation &amp; Soil Restoration</b> *See the GSMM Volume 2, Section 4.22 and 4.23 for more information.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check the box if the same portion of the post-developed area employs <u>site reforestation/revegetation and soil restoration</u>, and is protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection.</p> <p style="background-color: #92d050; padding: 2px;"></p> <p>Area (ac) with restored soils in a reforested &amp; revegetated area and protected by a conservation easement or equivalent form of protection. <span style="color: red; font-size: small;">Note: The green cell will unlock if the Scenario 4 box above is checked</span></p> |
| <p><b>Total Conservation Area Credit (acres)</b>      <b>0.31</b></p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

# Georgia Stormwater Management Manual

## Stormwater Quality Site Development Review Tool, v2.2

Development Name:   
 Drainage Basin Name:

data input cells  
 calculation cells  
 constant values

### Water Quality Goals

Target Runoff Reduction Storm (in)       Total Site Area for Water Quality Volume (acres)   
 Target Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)   
 Target Water Quality Volume (cf)

### Select BMPs for Runoff Reduction and Water Quality

|                               |                                               | Area Draining to Each BMP     |                                 |                      | Storage Volume Provided by BMP (cf) | RR Conveyance Volume Provided by BMP (cf) | Down-stream BMP | Runoff Reduction Calculations       |                                        |                                      |                    |                  | WQ Calculations          |                               |                         |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                               |                                               | On-site Pervious Area (acres) | On-site Impervious Area (acres) | Offsite Area (acres) |                                     |                                           |                 | RR Volume from Direct Drainage (cf) | RR Volume from Upstream Practices (cf) | Total RR Volume Received by BMP (cf) | Runoff Reduction % | RR Achieved (cf) | Remaining RR Volume (cf) | WQ, from Direct Drainage (cf) | Effective TSS Removal % |
| BMP 1                         | Downspout Disconnect (A & B hydrologic soils) | 0.00                          | 0.17                            |                      |                                     |                                           | BMP 2           | 703                                 | 0                                      | 703                                  | 50%                | 352              | 352                      | 703                           | 80%                     |
| BMP 2                         | Bioretention Basin (w/ upturned underdrain)   | 0.45                          | 0.49                            |                      | 2,320                               |                                           | BMP 3           | 2,126                               | 352                                    | 2,477                                | 75%                | 1,740            | 737                      | 2,126                         | 85%                     |
| BMP 3                         | Underground Detention                         | 0.00                          | 0.00                            |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 737                                    | 737                                  | 0%                 | 0                | 737                      | 0                             | 0%                      |
| BMP 4                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 5                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 6                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 7                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 8                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 9                         | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| BMP 10                        | Select a BMP...                               |                               |                                 |                      |                                     |                                           |                 | 0                                   | 0                                      | 0                                    | N/A                | 0                | 0                        | 0                             | N/A                     |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                  |                                               | 0.45                          | 0.66                            | 0.00                 |                                     |                                           |                 | 2,829                               |                                        |                                      |                    | 2,092            |                          | 2,829                         |                         |
| <b>UNTREATED AREA (acres)</b> |                                               | 0.00                          | 0.00                            |                      |                                     |                                           |                 |                                     |                                        |                                      |                    |                  |                          |                               |                         |

|                                        |       |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Target Runoff Reduction Volume (cf)    | 2,039 |
| Target Achieved?                       | Yes!  |
| Remaining Runoff Reduction Volume (cf) | 0     |

|                                  |       |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Target Water Quality Volume (cf) | 2,039 |
| % TSS Removal Achieved           | 100%  |
| Target Achieved?                 | Yes!  |
| Remaining TSS Removal %          | 0%    |

### Channel and Flood Protection Calculations

|                   |                   |                    |                     |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1-yr, 24-hr storm | 2-yr, 24-hr storm | 25-yr, 24-hr storm | 100-yr, 24-hr storm |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

# Georgia Stormwater Management Manual

## Stormwater Quality Site Development Review Tool, v2.2

Development Name:   
 Drainage Basin Name:

data input cells  
 calculation cells  
 constant values

Target Rainfall Event (in) 

|      |      |      |       |
|------|------|------|-------|
| 4.91 | 5.64 | 9.32 | 11.60 |
|------|------|------|-------|

|                                                  | 1-yr, 24-hr storm | 2-yr, 24-hr storm | 25-yr, 24-hr storm | 100-yr, 24-hr storm |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Pre-Development Runoff Volume (in)               | 0.94              | 1.32              | 3.72               | 5.47                |
| Post Development Runoff Volume (in) with no BMPs | 3.09              | 3.76              | 7.24               | 9.46                |
| Post-Development Runoff Volume (in) with BMPs    | 2.57              | 3.24              | 6.73               | 8.94                |
| Adjusted CN                                      | 77                | 78                | 79                 | 79                  |

\*See Stormwater Management Standards to Determine Detention Requirements.

### Comments

A RECORDED CONSERVATION EASEMENT OR SIMILAR FORM OF PROTECTION IS REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT

Received:  
01/08/2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department



SANDY SPRINGS™  
GEORGIA

Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Planner's initials: \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET


|          |                 |                                 |                                    |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| PROPERTY | Address(es):    | 1194 HOPE RD. AND 1190 HOPE RD. |                                    |
|          | Parcel Tax ID:  | 06036300040247 06036300040376   |                                    |
|          | Land Lot(s):    | 363                             | Land District(s): 6TH              |
|          | Total acreage:  | 1.42 AC                         | Council district: 2                |
|          | Current zoning: | RT-3                            | Current use: 2 SINGLE FAMILY HOMES |
|          | Character area: | URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD              |                                    |

|             |                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| APPLICATION | Detailed request (include Ordinance/Code Section No.): | TO REDUCE FROM 25 FEET TO 5 FEET<br>TO ELIMINATE THE BUFFER AS SHOWN IN CODE<br>SECTIONS 6.4.2 ALONG THE PROPERTY LINE BETWEEN<br>1194 HOPE RD. AND 1196 HOPE RD. |  |
|             | Petitioner:                                            | GARY CALLICOTT [REDACTED]                                                                                                                                         |  |
|             | Petitioner's address:                                  | CALLICOTT CUSTOM HOMES, LLC<br>9825 TRACE VALLEY, SANDY SPRINGS, GA<br>30350                                                                                      |  |
|             |                                                        | [REDACTED]                                                                                                                                                        |  |

|       |                                                    |                                                                                                                                       |  |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| OWNER | Property owner:                                    | ARIADNE NIKIFOROU                                                                                                                     |  |
|       | Signature (authorizing initiation of the process): | Ariadne H. Nikiforou<br><i>If the property is under contract and the owner is unavailable to sign, provide a copy of the contract</i> |  |

- TO BE FILLED OUT BY P&Z STAFF -

|                                       |          |                               |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|
| Pre-application meeting date:         | 12/11/19 | Anticipated application date: |  |
| Anticipated BOA date:                 |          |                               |  |
| <b>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED:</b> |          |                               |  |
| → Need owner's signature              |          |                               |  |
|                                       |          |                               |  |
|                                       |          |                               |  |



- SURVEY NOTES**
1. THIS SURVEY WAS PERFORMED WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A CURRENT TITLE INSPECTION REPORT, EASEMENTS, ENCUMBRANCES, OR ENCROACHMENTS OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN HEREON. MAP DATE.
  2. THIS PLAN WAS INCORPORATED FROM A FIELD SURVEY USING A THREE SECOND TOPCON TOTAL STATION.
  3. THE PRECISION OF THE FIELD DATA UPON WHICH THIS SURVEY IS BASED WAS VERIFIED WITH REPLICANT LINEAR MEASUREMENTS. THE RELATIVE HORIZONTAL PRECISION IS LESS THAN 1/500 FEET PER HUNDRED FEET. THE FIELD DATA HAS BEEN ADJUSTED USING LEAST SQUARES.
  4. THIS PLAN HAS BEEN CALCULATED FOR CLOSURE AND FOUND TO BE ACCURATE WITHIN ONE FOOT IN 24,312 FEET.
  5. ALL W/ & R/ ARE BY REFERENCE UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
  6. THIS PLAN IS SUBJECT TO ALL LEGAL EASEMENTS AND RIGHT OF WAYS PUBLIC OR PRIVATE.
  7. NO PORTION OF THIS PROPERTY APPEARS TO BE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN, AND LIES IN ZONE "X" ACCORDING TO FEMA FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NUMBER 1302001010C, EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2013.
  8. DISTANCES SHOWN HEREON ARE GROUND DISTANCES. BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON ANGLES TURNED AND ARE REFERENCED TO GRID NORTH.
  9. INFORMATION REGARDING THE PRESENCE, SIZE AND LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON IS BASED ON THE LOCATION OF USABLE UTILITIES/ENHANCED LANDSCAPE SURVEYING AND MARKING, AND MAKES NO CERTIFICATION AS TO THE ACCURACY OR THOROUGHNESS OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON.
  10. THE CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS JOINS FOR THIS LOT IS IN-2, SUBURBAN OVERLAY DISTRICT.

**RECEIVED**  
**JAN 02 2020**  
 City of Sandy Springs  
 Community Development

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAN AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2011 NATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA SURVEYS (AS THE SURVEYOR'S BEST KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF) AND THAT THE SURVEY WAS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR PROFESSIONAL SURVEYING IN GEORGIA AS SET FORTH IN CHAPTER 185-7 OF THE RULES OF THE GEORGIA BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS AND AS SET FORTH IN THE GEORGIA ALTA ACT O.C.G.A. 18-1-42. THE FIELD WORK WAS COMPLETED ON 08/21/2014. DATE OF PLAN 08/22/2014.



JAMES H. HADER GEORGIA ALTA 3033



**LEGEND**

|    |                                          |                                          |
|----|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 0  | PROPERTY CORNER                          | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 1  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 2  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 3  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 4  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 5  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 6  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 7  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 8  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 9  | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 10 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 11 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 12 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 13 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 14 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 15 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 16 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 17 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 18 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 19 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 20 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 21 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 22 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 23 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 24 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 25 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 26 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 27 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 28 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 29 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 30 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 31 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 32 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 33 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 34 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 35 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 36 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 37 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 38 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 39 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 40 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 41 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 42 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 43 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 44 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 45 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 46 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 47 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 48 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 49 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 50 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 51 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 52 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 53 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 54 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 55 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 56 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 57 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 58 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 59 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |
| 60 | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) | 1/2" PINE (INDICATE THE END OF THE LINE) |

1.424 AC

**ALTA/CASM SURVEY FOR:**

**LAND PRO**  
 SURVEYING AND MAPPING  
 305 CREEKSTONE RIDGE  
 WOODSTOCK, GA 30188  
 TEL: 404-386-2170  
 FAX: 678-213-1519  
 AUTHORIZATION NO. LS15000838  
 WWW.LANDPROSURVEYING.COM  
 COPYRIGHT 2014

**MINERVA**




LAND LOT 363  
 DISTRICT #  
 CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS  
 FULTON COUNTY, GA

SCALE: 1" = 20'  
 DATE: 08/22/14  
 PROJECT NO.: 140822  
 SHEET: 1 OF 1



- GENERAL NOTES**
1. THIS TRACT CONTAINS 1.42 ACRES AND IS ZONED RE-1.
  2. THE PROPOSED ZONING IS COTTAGE.
  3. THIS LAYOUT CONTAINS 16 UNITS FOR DENSITY OF 11.27 LOTS/ACRE.
  4. THIS PROPERTY OWNED AND DEVELOPED BY:  
CALLICOTT HOLDINGS  
PHONE: 770-851-3364  
CONTACT: GARY CALLICOTT
  5. THE MINIMUM SETBACK FROM PRIMARY STREET IS 15 FEET; THE MINIMUM LOT AREA IS 1200 SF; THE MINIMUM LOT WIDTH IS 22 FEET.
  6. THE MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRED IS 32. THERE ARE 36 SPACES PROVIDED. EACH UNIT SHALL HAVE A TWO CAR GARAGE AND 4 GUEST SPACES (16 x 0.2 = 3.2) ARE PROVIDED.
  7. 9,600 SF OF COMMON SPACE IS REQUIRED (16 x 600). 23,452 SF IS PROVIDED.

**CORNERSTONE DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC.**  
 106 SIMONSON ROAD SE  
 LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30046  
 PHONE: 770-961-1007  
 EMAIL: KIM@CORNERSTONEDESIGN.COM  
 CONTACT: DARRELL VAUGHN



NOTE: MINIMUM LOT AREA = 1201 SF (22x54.6)

CONCEPT SITE PLAN

PLAN SCALE: 1" = 20'

HOPE ROAD TRACT  
 LAND LOT 363, 6TH DISTRICT  
 FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA  
 CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS

REVISIONS:

|   |             |                                        |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------------|
| # | DATE        | REVISION                               |
| 1 | JAN 8, 2020 | REVISE BUFFERS ADJACENT TO RE-1 ZONING |

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| SHEET NO. | 1             |
| OF        | 1             |
| PROJ. NO. | HOPE ROAD     |
| DATE      | OCT. 23, 2019 |

**Received:**  
**01/09/2020**  
 City of Sandy Springs  
 Community Development Department

**Received:  
01/08/2020**

**City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department**

## Letter of Intent

### 1. Requested Variance:

Applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the buffer from 25 feet to 5 feet as shown in code section 6.4.2 along the property line between 1194 Hope Road and 1196 Hope Road.

### 2. Factual details about the proposed development:

The variance is necessary because the property located at 1196 Hope Road is currently zoned RE-1. 1196 Hope Road consists of less than two tenths of an acre of land with a home in ill repair which records indicate was constructed in 1940. The house is not connected to public sewer and the Fulton County Health Department can find no record that a septic system was ever approved. This parcel is the only property zoned for single family residential use in the immediate area. All of the nearby properties are within the Urban Neighborhood character area.

Applicants intent is to construct townhouses on the contiguous parcels located at 1194 Hope Road and 1190 Hope road. The rezoning from RE-1 to RT-3 was approved by the Sandy Springs City Council on July 16, 2019. However, the buffer created by the "protected neighborhood" condition required for RE-1 zoning will prevent the development of this project.

### 3. Alternative designs explored:

Applicant has engaged two different land planners and a civil engineer to in an attempt to produce alternative designs that would eliminate the need for this request. There have been at least five different site plans as well as multiple variations of each that have been proposed.

The first site plan submitted to staff was an L-shaped layout with units along the South and West property lines. This layout incorporated a large open space, or mew, on the northern half of the property. This site plan would NOT have required a variance but was prohibited by the new code because it did not have buildings covering at least 60% of the road frontage.

The second site plan involved running a 24 feet wide alley parallel to the North property line and extending additional alleys off the main alley. This site plan consisted of three rows of townhomes with the first row fronting along Hope Rd. The first version of this plan could have been constructed with a ten feet landscape buffer. However, this site plan had to be scrapped when we learned that the city no longer allowed individual units to only be accessed by an alley.

A third site plan which was very similar to the second plan was designed to reduce some of the impervious area by replacing one of the alleys with a landscaped common area. By reducing the buildings to three rows of five units each this plan would have allowed the primary alley to be shifted far enough south to eliminate the need for this variance. This plan was found to be non-conforming with the development code for the same reason as the second site plan.

The fourth site plan is the plan that was submitted for rezoning. It involved building a public street through the center of the property. This site plan solved the issue that eliminated the second and third plans by offering direct access to a public road for all units. It did require in

**Received:  
01/08/2020**

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department

addition to the public street an alley for the rear entry garages. With this alley circling the entire property and the installation of a public street this plan created an inordinate amount of impervious area and would not work without a variance.

The fifth and current plan was made possible when the city council and mayor amended Division 6.3 Development Patterns Cottage Court to allow attached houses to utilize the cottage court layout. This plan provides for an aesthetically superior development both to potential homeowners as well as the community. Compared to alternative plans the cottage court greatly reduces impervious area which will reduce storm water runoff therefore making it a more environmentally friendly choice. The pattern of this plan allows for a community like feel with the units facing each other while sharing a common courtyard. Applicant believes that this site plan is by far the best alternative for all parties concerned. This plan does however require a reduction in the buffer from 25 feet to 5 feet.

**Received:  
01/08/2020**

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development Department

## Variance Analysis

- a. The application of the Development Code would eliminate the ability to develop the property as a cottage courtyard without the approval of the requested variance. As detailed in the letter of intent under "Alternative Plans Explored" there were many attempts to determine the best possible site plan while considering environmental impacts, compatibility with the neighborhood, marketability, and practicality while satisfying the city's stated intent to bring quality housing to north Sandy Springs. After many attempts the consensus among the land planners and engineers that worked on this project was that the cottage court pattern was the best way to develop the property.
- b. The size and shape of the property is perfect for the cottage court layout but is too narrow for any other code acceptable site plan.
- c. These conditions are not the result of any action or inaction of the property owner.
- d. The variance request is the minimum relief necessary to accommodate this site plan.
- e. This variance request will result in development that is consistent with the general intent of the development code and the comprehensive plan. Aside from the very small parcel located next door there is only one other lot on Hope Road that is zoned single family. All of the remaining parcels are zoned either multifamily or commercial. This entire area is transitioning to townhouses and/or commercial. The addition of these townhouses will provide reasonably priced homes to the Sandy Springs service industry and help satisfy the city's desire to bring quality redevelopment to the northern part of Sandy Springs. The property is located along a transit corridor and is less than 100 yards from a MARTA stop and in easy walking distance to shops and restaurants. The development will replace two dated houses and provide homes for new citizens who will shop in the nearby stores, eat in area restaurants, and contribute to the local economy. These homeowners, most of whom will be young adults, will become an important part of the Sandy Springs community for years to come. The grounds will be designed by a professional landscape architect and maintained by local landscapers to insure that the beauty of Sandy Springs is enhanced and the property values in the area continue to increase.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development



SANDY SPRINGS™  
GEORGIA

Case No.: V20-0007  
Planner's initials: MS

PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

|          |                                                 |                                    |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| PROPERTY | Address(es): 1194 HOPE RD. AND 1190 HOPE RD.    |                                    |
|          | Parcel Tax ID: 06 036 3000 40247 06036300040376 |                                    |
|          | Land Lot(s): 363                                | Land District(s): 6TH              |
|          | Total acreage: 1.42 AC                          | Council district: 2                |
|          | Current zoning: RT-3                            | Current use: 2 SINGLE FAMILY HOMES |
|          | Character area: URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD              |                                    |

|             |                                                                                                                        |  |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| APPLICATION | Detailed request (include Ordinance/Code Section No.):                                                                 |  |
|             | TO ELIMINATE THE BUFFER AS SHOWN IN CODE SECTION 6.4.2 ALONG THE PROPERTY LINE BETWEEN 1194 HOPE RD. AND 1196 HOPE RD. |  |
|             | GARY CALLICOTT [REDACTED]                                                                                              |  |
|             | Petitioner: CALLICOTT CUSTOM HOMES, LLC                                                                                |  |
|             | Petitioner's address: 9825 TRACE VALLEY, SANDY SPRINGS GA 30350                                                        |  |

|       |                                                                         |                              |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| OWNER | Property owner: ARIADNE NIKIFOROU                                       |                              |
|       | Owner's address: 7175 NORTHGREEN DR. SANDY SPRINGS, GA 30328            |                              |
|       | Phone: (404) 492-3000                                                   | Email: dxanthaky@hotmail.com |
|       | Signature (authorizing initiation of the process): Ariadne H. Nikiforou |                              |

*If the property is under contract and the owner is unavailable to sign, provide a copy of the contract*

- TO BE FILLED OUT BY P&Z STAFF -

|                                                            |                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Pre-application meeting date: 12/11/19                     | Anticipated application date: 1/2/20 |
| Anticipated BOA date: 2/11/19                              |                                      |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED:                             |                                      |
| → need owner's signature                                   |                                      |
| → revise # 3 letter of intent and (d) in variance analysis |                                      |
| → provide alternative site plans                           |                                      |
| → expand on (d) in variance analysis                       |                                      |



SANDY SPRINGS  
GEORGIA

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development

AUTHORIZATION FORM – PART I

A- The property owner must fill out the following section and have it notarized. If a property has multiple owners, each owner must separately fill out a copy of the authorization form.

Owner states under oath that he/she is the owner of the property described in the attached legal description, which is made part of this application.

Owner's name: Ariadne Niki Forou




Owner's signature: Ariadne Niki Forou

→ dxanthaky@hotmail.com

Sworn and subscribed before me this

16<sup>th</sup> day of December 20 19

Notary public: Cynthia J. Whittemore



B- If the applicant is *not* the owner of the subject property:

Fill out the following section, check the appropriate statement, and have it notarized.

Applicant states under oath that:

- He/she is the executor or Attorney-in-Fact under a Power-of-Attorney for the owner (attach a copy of the contract); or
- He/she has an option to purchase the subject property (attach a copy of the contract); or
- He/she has an estate of years which permits the applicant to apply (attach a copy of the lease)

Applicant's name:

Company name:

Address:

City, State, Zip Code:

Email address:

Phone number:

Applicant's signature:

Sworn and subscribed before me this

day of 20

Notary public:

Seal:

Commission expires:



SANDY SPRINGS  
GEORGIA

RECEIVED 1/6/2020 PLANNING & ZONING

AUTHORIZATION FORM – PART II

C- If an agent or attorney will represent the owner and/or the applicant:  
Fill out the following section and have it notarized.

|                        |                             |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Agent's name:          | GARY W. CALLICOTT           |
| Company name:          | CALLICOTT CUSTOM HOMES, LLC |
| Address:               | 9825 TRACE VALLEY           |
| City, State, Zip Code: | SANDY SPRINGS GA 30350      |
| Email address:         | [REDACTED]                  |
| Phone number:          | [REDACTED]                  |
| Agent's signature      | <i>Gary W. Callicott</i>    |
| Applicant's signature: |                             |

|                                                                                                                |                         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sworn and subscribed before me this                                                                            |                         |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> day of January 20 20                                                                           |                         |
| Notary public:                                                                                                 | <i>Rosneida Peralta</i> |
| Seal:                                                                                                          |                         |
| <b>ROSNEIDA PERALTA</b><br>NOTARY PUBLIC<br>Cobb County<br>State of Georgia<br>My Comm. Expires Sept. 29, 2023 |                         |
| Commission expires:                                                                                            | <i>Sept. 29. 2023</i>   |

## Letter of Intent

1. Requested Variance:

Applicant is requesting a variance to eliminate the buffer as shown in code section 6.4.2 along the property line between 1194 Hope Road and 1196 Hope Road.

2. Factual details about the proposed development:

The variance is necessary because the property located at 1196 Hope Road is currently zoned RE-1. 1196 Hope Road consists of less than two tenths of an acre of land with a home in ill repair which records indicate was constructed in 1940. The house is not connected to public sewer and the Fulton County Health Department can find no record that a septic system was ever approved. This parcel is the only property zoned for single family residential use in the immediate area. All of the nearby properties are within the Urban Neighborhood character area.

Applicants intent is to construct townhouses on the contiguous parcels located at 1194 Hope Road and 1190 Hope road. The rezoning from RE-1 to RT-3 was approved by the Sandy Springs City Council on July 16, 2019. However, the buffer created by the "protected neighborhood" condition required for RE-1 zoning will prevent the development of this project.

~~3.~~ Alternative designs explored:

Applicant has engaged two different land planners and a civil engineer to in an attempt to produce alternative designs that would eliminate the need for this request. There have been at least six different site plans as well as multiple variations of each that have been proposed. We have had many meetings with the Sandy Springs planning staff and numerous development meetings. After much effort over a two to three-year period the consensus was that the plan we submitted which employs the cottage court layout is by far the best.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development

## Variance Analysis

- a. The application of the development code would preclude the ability to develop the property as a cottage courtyard. During the rezoning process the Sandy Springs planning staff suggested that the cottage courtyard layout would be the best use for the property and that has been confirmed by applicant's planners and engineer.
- b. The size and shape of the property is perfect for the cottage court layout but is too narrow for any other workable site plan.
- c. These conditions are not the result of any action or inaction of the property owner.
- d. The variance request is the minimum relief necessary to accommodate this site plan.
- e. This variance request will result in development that is consistent with the general intent of the development code and the comprehensive plan. Aside from the very small parcel located next door there is only one other lot on Hope Road that is zoned single family. All of the remaining parcels are zoned either multifamily or commercial. This entire area is transitioning to townhouses and/or commercial. The addition of these townhouses will provide reasonably priced homes to the Sandy Springs service industry and help satisfy the city's stated desire to bring quality redevelopment to the northern part of Sandy Springs. The property is located along a transit corridor and is less the 100 yards from a MARTA stop and in easy walking distance to shops and restaurants.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development

LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR 1190 HOPE ROAD

BEGINNING at an iron pin located on the northwesterly right of way line of Hope Road, two hundred fifty (250.0) feet southwesterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the northwesterly right of way line of Hope Road with the southwesterly right of way line of Old Roswell Road; said point of beginning also being at the line dividing Lots 90 and 91, said block and subdivision; running thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of said Lot 91, at an interior angle of 92 degrees 27 minutes with the northwesterly right of way line of Hope Road, three hundred eleven and three tenths (311.3) feet to an iron pin; running thence southwesterly one hundred twenty-five (125.0) feet to an iron pin and Lot 85, said block and subdivision; running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said Lot 85, three hundred thirteen and three tenths (313.3) feet to an iron pin and the northwesterly right of way of Hope Road; running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly right of way line of Hope Road, one hundred twenty-five (125.0) feet to an iron pin and the point of beginning.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development

LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR 1194 HOPE ROAD

All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lot 363 of the 6<sup>th</sup> district, Fulton County, Georgia, being Lots 91, 92, and 93, Longsdale Park Subdivision, and being more particularly described as follows: Beginning at an iron pin found on the northwesterly right of way of Hope Road (50 foot right of way) which point is south 22 degrees 26 minutes and 26 seconds west 172.32 feet from the intersection of the northwesterly right of way of Hope Road with the southwesterly right of way of Dunwoody Place (50 foot right of way); and running thence south 22 degrees 26 minutes 26 seconds west along the northwesterly right of way of Hope Road 74.52 feet to an iron pin found (1 inch O.T.); running thence north 65 degrees 13 minutes 31 seconds west 306.57 feet to an iron pin found; running thence north 19 degrees 44 minutes 50 seconds east 75.98 feet to an iron pin found (1/2 inch O.T.); running thence 64 degrees 51 minutes 30 seconds east 150.19 feet to an iron pin found; running thence south 65 degrees 07 minutes 50 seconds east 160.01 feet to an iron pin found at the point of beginning, as shown on survey for Nancy H Thomas by Cherokee Surveying Co., Inc. dated June 4, 1997; and being known as 1194 Hope Road, according to the present system of numbering property in the City of Sandy Springs, Fulton County, Georgia.

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2020

City of Sandy Springs  
Community Development

