



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

TO: Mayor & City Council

DATE: September 26, 2013

FROM: John McDonough, City Manager

AGENDA ITEM: **Staff Report - Second Registered Voter List Analysis**

MEETING DATE: For Submission onto the October 1, 2013, City Council Regular Meeting Agenda

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: (Attach additional pages if necessary)

See attached:

Memorandum

APPROVAL BY CITY MANAGER: JFM APPROVED

PLACED ON AGENDA FOR: 10/1/2013

CITY ATTORNEY APPROVAL REQUIRED: () YES () NO

CITY ATTORNEY APPROVAL: [Signature]

REMARKS:



TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: John McDonough, City Manager

DATE: September 30, 2013 for Submission onto the October 1, 2013 City Council Regular Meeting Agenda

ITEM: Second Registered Voter List Analysis

Background:

During the month of August, the Fulton County Department of Registration and Elections (Department) provided the City of Sandy Springs with an Excel data file derived from the County's registered voter database. The data elements in the file provided include the voter registration number, voter name, residence address, precinct number, district identifiers, and other election information fields. At the request of the Department, the City reviewed the file and provided the Department lists of discrepancies identified when comparing the file to our City address database.

During the month of September, the Department worked on inputting the corrections provided by the City into the State's new voter registration system, ElectionNet. The State provides updated voter files to the counties on a daily basis. The Department reviewed the Sandy Springs information provided by the Secretary of State's Office and sent it to the City for analysis. The results of the second comparison by City GIS staff are summarized below alongside the results from the initial comparison.

Discussion:

Address Discrepancies	8/21/13	9/25/13
Number of registered voters in City of Sandy Springs	67,831	67,423
Addresses shown in District 1 that should be in District 2:	932	1
Addresses shown in District 1 that should be in District 3:	-----	1
Addresses shown in District 1 that should be in District 4:	305	1
Addresses shown in District 1 that should be in District 5:	-----	1
Addresses shown in District 2 that should be in District 1:	1	1
Addresses shown in District 3 that should be in District 2:	79	1
Addresses shown in District 3 that should be in District 4:	292	2
Addresses shown in District 3 that should be in District 5:	14	-----
Addresses shown in District 3 that should be in District 6:	6	14

CITY CLERK

Addresses shown in District 4 that should be in District 1:	-----	1
Addresses shown in District 4 that should be in District 3:	933	1
Addresses shown in District 4 that should be in District 5:	18	-----
Addresses shown in District 4 that should be in District 6:	-----	1
Addresses shown in District 5 that should be in District 3:	205	25
Addresses shown in District 5 that should be in District 4:	1	-----
Addresses shown in District 5 that should be in District 6:	151	15
Addresses shown in District 6 that should be in District 3:	-----	5
Addresses shown in District 6 that should be in District 4:	1	-----
Addresses shown in District 6 that should be in District 5:	1,161	480
Addresses not found:	702	454
*Misc. Issues (not in our jurisdiction, no longer exist, etc.):	115	49
Total number of discrepancies:	4,916	1,053
Percent of data discrepancies:	7.25%	1.56%

* - Misc. Issues may involve more than one address

Action Plan:

Multiple spreadsheets identifying the discrepancies from the second analysis have been provided to the County. Staff will continue working with the Department to provide feedback and to resolve as many of the remaining discrepancies as possible prior to the November election.

Attachments:

None.