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Work Session Meeting of the Sandy Springs City Council was held on Tuesday, April 6, 2010, at 7:44 p.m., Mayor Eva Galambos presiding.

STAFF DISCUSSION ITEMS

Communications and Media

1. Street Renaming Update

Community Services Coordinator Dan Coffer stated the proposed street name changes have been reviewed by the Police Department, Fire and Rescue, Public Works, and 911. A list of streets is located in the work session packet and the streets were discussed during the recent individual meetings. The next steps include meeting with the affected neighborhoods and property owners and then coming back to Council for final approval.

Councilmember Tibby DeJulio stated he noticed on some of the streets there are recommendations on how to rename them. Since some of the streets are so small, is it possible to let the residents determine how to rename them.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated that is not a problem.

Mayor Eva Galambos stated there is one street listed to be renamed for a person that is living. Does the City want to rename a street for someone who is living?

Councilmember Dianne Fries asked which street it was.

Mayor Galambos stated it is Dorothy Benson.

Councilmember Fries stated there is a building named after her.

Mayor Galambos stated the City didn’t name that building. Naming a street after someone who is living is a bad policy.

Councilmember Tibby DeJulio agreed that it is a bad policy.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnerny suggested naming the street Senior Center Lane or something that represents what is on the street.

Councilmember Chip Collins stated the street is not being named after the woman. It is being named after the building. He stated the list shows recommendations for District Three, but it is not complete going forward.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated staff is on hold since the school submitted paperwork today to do street name changes.

Councilmember Collins stated there are two major streets named Mountain Creek Road in the Riverside neighborhood adjacent to I-285. For 911 purposes, this is the type of confusion the City is trying to avoid.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated staff will look into that issue.
Mayor Galambos asked if it is a mistake on the list showing the change of Dalrymple to Spalding.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated staff met with Councilmember Jenkins and she requested that change. Fire and Public Works looked at it and agreed it is something they would support and move forward on.

Councilmember Fries stated she thinks it causes more confusion. Since Spalding t-bones, will it be Spalding to the right or to the left?

Mayor Galambos stated it sounds like there will be two Spalding Drives.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated Councilmember Jenkins suggested bringing Spalding all the way down to Roswell Road and then take Spalding Woods and rename it.

Mayor Galambos stated it will involve a lot of address changes. Will the residents go along with it?

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated when he and Councilmember Jenkins talked; she felt it wasn’t going to be an issue to get the residents in on the change.

Councilmember Fries stated the list does not show what the new name will be.

Community Services Coordinator Coffer stated to look under the section that says Spalding Drive, which states to rename the segment of Spalding Drive between Roswell Road and the Trowbridge Road/Spalding Drive intersection to Spalding Woods Drive. He agreed to get Council a map.

**Community Development**

1. To Amend Chapter 103, Article XIII Grading and Drainage, Section 103-105 - Erosion Control, to include Minimum Standards for any Land Disturbance Activities. Also, to Amend Chapter 103, Article VI, Section 103-27 - Land Disturbance Permits; this will set the allowed minimum area of land disturbance that requires a local land disturbance permit at 5,000 square feet.

2. A Promulgated Ordinance to Replace the Existing Erosion and Sedimentation Ordinance Chapter 109, Article VI.

**Environmental Compliance Officer David Schmid** stated items one and two work together, but they are out of sequence in order. The adoption of the Promulgated Ordinance is required by the state in order for the City to have its Local Issuing Authority and MOA. The Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission redid the Model Ordinance and they are requiring all Local Authorities to adopt the new Model Ordinance by the end of the year. Council requested that the ordinance be adopted word for word with no additions or deletions as with the Fulton County Ordinance that was adopted as the Erosion and Sedimentation Ordinance. Since this change is being made, Staff made some amendments to two sections of the Grading and Drainage Ordinance in order to make sure a couple of the items are still covered that were in the Erosion and Sedimentation Ordinance. The first being in section 103-27 which will set the allowed minimum area of land disturbance that requires a local land disturbance permit at 5,000 feet. The Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance Model Ordinance only applies to sites with land disturbance greater than one acre and the City has adopted a policy that issues land disturbance permits for sites that are greater than 5,000 square feet. By amending the Grading and Drainage Ordinance that policy will be kept in place. The second issue is to amend section 103-105, which will include minimum standards for any land disturbance activity, not just for the land disturbance activity that is covered under
the general permit, which is covered under the Model Ordinance. This will allow the City to enforce erosion control standards on any land disturbance activity within the City.

**Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery** stated for every area that is disturbed, all the adjacent owners can be harmed if the right standards are not in place. This is a quality of life issue and with the City’s topography and the number of springs, this is a good thing for the community. She is in support of it. She asked if there is any way to strengthen the BMP such that vegetation or some percentage of the area has to be vegetated, because that is what keeps the soil in place. Is that included in the ordinance?

Environmental Compliance Officer Schmid answered it is covered under the green manual under Georgia’s minimum standards under the Best Management Practices (BMP). For a site that is using pine straw and undergoing a final site visit, they are only allowed to use that if there are certain grades that meet that requirement. If it is over a certain slope, then they would have to do more than put pine straw down.

**Councilmember John Paulson** asked if the minimum of 5,000 square feet for the land disturbance is in place now and if Council would be voting to keep it in place.

Environmental Compliance Officer Schmid stated that is correct. It was originally covered under the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance that the City adopted from Fulton County. The Model Ordinance does not cover that, it is a local jurisdiction issue. For that reason, it is being moved to the Grading and Drainage Ordinance.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

3. An Ordinance to Amend Article 12B, Sandy Springs Overlay District, of the Sandy Springs Zoning Ordinance.

**Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Patrice Ruffin** stated this is to bring forward the discussion that has already been had about consolidating the Overlay standard into two districts along Roswell Road and to revise the streetscape standards for the Main Street. The Main Street would have a two foot brick paver, a nine foot sidewalk, and other features. The suburban district would have a six foot sidewalk and a two foot landscape stripe.

**Mayor Eva Galambos** asked if the changes were made from the visit with Roger Rupnow and Susan Maziar.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin answered yes.

**Councilmember Dianne Fries** thought this item had already come before Council and had been approved.

Mayor Galambos stated it was approved conceptually and then went to the Planning Commission. They have approved it and now it is back to Council.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin stated this is for final official adoption.

**Councilmember John Paulson** asked if it has changed from what was discussed two months ago.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin stated no, except for the one change the Mayor mentioned from the Planning Commission.
Councilmember Fries asked if the Planning Commission saw the information before it came to Council the last time.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin answered no.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

4. An Ordinance to Amend Article 33, Signs, of the Sandy Springs Zoning Ordinance.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Patrice Ruffin stated this item is to reduce the sign set back from the right-of-way from ten feet to three feet.

Mayor Galambos asked if this is for the Main Street.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin answered it would be throughout the City.

Councilmember Tibby DeJulio asked if this is for all signs.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin answered yes.

Councilmember DeJulio stated this would bring all signs out within three feet of the right-of-way.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin responded correct.

Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery asked why this is being done, if Council is trying to get a cleaner look to the City.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin stated it is consistent with what is required for walls, as far as what subdivisions are allowed to have for their entry walls. It is also outside of the clear zone that Public Works requires. There have been a lot of variances where applicants were asking for reductions in the sign setback, because in several areas, especially along Roswell Road, there is a wide right-of-way. This will allow businesses to have their signs where they are actually visible.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnery asked if there would be an opportunity to just include the new town center overlay district with this three foot business. Why extend this from the City border with Roswell on down?

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin confirmed that typically the wider right-of-way has been outside the Main Street district. This area has the biggest right-of-way requirements.

Councilmember DeJulio asked if there have been many complaints from property owners about the signs having to be too far back.

Mayor Galambos stated there were complaints from those in the Main Street, because it is not possible.

Transportation Planner Mark Moore stated the majority of the times that he has had to go and speak in front of the Board of Appeals have been for variances to the set-back distance from the right-of-way for signage.

Mayor Galambos asked where.
Transportation Planner Moore answered all over the City, especially on Roswell Road. To Ms. Ruffin’s point, some of the largest right-of-ways are in the sections between Dunwoody Place and Roswell Road. In all of those cases there has been only one case that staff recommended against allowing the variance, simply because of a setback issue or a site triangle clear zone issue. Staff believes with both the new overlay district and Council’s support for right-of-way reduction that the three foot minimum would allow safe signs and reduce variance requests.

Councilmember Dianne Fries asked for clarification on an issue for the previous item. She stated there is a change in the overlay for the streets. In the packet it shows that Mayor Galambos and Councilmember DeJulio met with the Planning Commission and there is a change. She wants to understand the change.

Mayor Galambos stated there is an area that protrudes near Councilmember DeJulio’s area.

Director of Community Development Nancy Leathers stated it is between Glenridge and I-285, east of Roswell Road behind the apartments.

Councilmember Fries stated the original cut off was from Abernathy to Glenridge. Now it is being moved from Glenridge north.

Director of Community Development Leathers answered no. The location is not being changed on Roswell Road. There is a long extension moving east from Roswell Road following Glenridge.

Mayor Galambos stated it was into the residential area.

Director of Community Development Leathers stated that was the portion that got deleted.

Councilmember DeJulio asked in conjunction with moving the sign forward was there a change made to standardize the signage.

Director of Community Development Leathers stated before the sign ordinance was rewritten about two years ago, the Board of Appeals could make signs larger or higher than permitted by the Zoning Ordinance. Now those come directly to Council. Council has the ability to control anything that goes beyond the standard size of the ordinance, because the Board of Appeals cannot approve those requests. When signs come through and get changed, over time they will probably get reduced. It is a slow process, because they cannot be taken out unless the sign is being changed.

Mayor Galambos stated she feels approving this change for signs all over Sandy Springs is a radical move. She would like to say yes to it in the Main Street district, because the development is being brought almost up to the right-of-way. There won’t be any room for the sign if it has to move back as it would be inside the building. There needs to be more study done before applying this across all of Sandy Springs.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnerney stated she would like to echo the Mayor’s comments. The City has a very well functioning Board of Appeals and should continue to use the variance process for the right-of-way in the non-town center areas. It has a cleaner look. She’s been down the boulevard in various parts of Fayette County and they have really low signs that are set way back from the street. Everybody learns to look that far. She agrees that ten feet is fine in the suburban overlay districts, all others can come to the Board of Appeals.
Councilmember Paulson asked if signs that are within three feet in the Main Street area are being approved anyway.

Transportation Planner Moore answered as long as the sign clears all requirements and doesn’t present any issue with intersection triangles, Public Works has no objection to the variance application. Public Works only reviews it for engineering and safety criteria. The Board of Appeals has obviously had a wide range of opinions based on the signage and the applicant and the circumstances. But we are only looking at it from the safety and engineering criteria.

Councilmember Paulson asked if Council makes the three foot change only in the Main Street district, would that lighten the work load.

Transportation Planner Moore answered from the Public Works viewpoint he can’t think of a sign that is in the Main Street district where three feet from the right-of-way would not have met the engineering and safety criteria.

Councilmember Paulson stated he was not against the Main Street three foot setback, but he wanted to know if we need three feet outside of Main Street.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin gave an example of a case last month at the Board of Appeals with the car repair place at the corner of Hightower and Roswell Road. The right-of-way is so large in that section that it would put the sign in their parking lot. They requested to go down to one foot and the Board of Appeals approved it. Those are the types of things staff has, especially in that section.

Councilmember Paulson stated that is one situation as opposed to going through a carte blanche approval.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Ruffin stated there have been several requests in that section, not just that one.

Councilmember Fries stated Council had mentioned to Director of Community Development Leathers that if staff finds an over abundance of variances for a similar thing to bring it forward. She agrees with the Mayor that in the Main Street area it makes sense, because there is not the same room available and really a lot of it is on Roswell Road. The state is taking a wide right-of-way, like with Hightower. Even now there are signs still 50 feet off the road and in the bushes. There have been some ugly signs approved. Is there enough consensus with Council to have staff look into standardizing signs?

Mayor Galambos stated the Design Review Board used to look at them and make suggestions, but that no longer happens since the billboard situation.

Director of Community Development Leathers stated staff can certainly take a look and see if there is a way to set some standards that have to be met on the signs. Some of the colors are truly ugly.

Councilmember Fries stated she has seen a few that go up with big plastic signs instead of tasteful signs.

Director of Community Development Leathers stated staff can look at materials as well.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnery stated it’s more than just safety, which is what the Public Works Department bases their decision on. She thinks the City needs some design criteria. Mayor Galambos stated if there is an area with a very large right-of-way, like Hightower, bring Council a list of variances in a particular area that need to be addressed. That is not carte blanche; it is tailored to a particular
situation. Opening the flood gates to everybody moving their signs three feet back from the right-of-way is just too much.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with looking at ways to standardize the signage. There was not a consensus on changing the sign setback from the right-of-way from ten feet to three feet.

5. A Resolution to Amend the Local Roadway Functional Classification Map of the City of Sandy Springs Transportation Master Plan.

Assistant Director of Planning and Zoning Patrice Ruffin stated Council has already seen the map and approved it to be sent to GDOT, ARC, and Federal Highway. All of them have signed off on it and this is the official adoption. Because the Comprehensive Plan references this map, Council needs to officially adopt it.

Councilmember Dianne Fries asked if this was the map reviewed a long time ago that had Roswell Road listed as a buggy road.

Transportation Planner Mark Moore stated it was a minor arterial. It has been almost a two year process. It had to be approved by ARC, ARC Board, GDOT, and Federal Highway before coming back to Sandy Springs. Community Development realized that the Zoning Ordinance is keyed off of functional classifications. Staff is trying to make sure what is actually in the Comp Plan and that this is officially adopted.

Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery stated a key part of this is that anything that is a collector, such as Moore Road, will be subject to a sidewalk policy. That is another benefit. We don’t want to have neighborhood roads as collectors, either. She asked if Crest Valley is listed as a collector.

Transportation Planner Moore answered no, Crest Valley is not.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnery asked the name of the other street that is going to be taken off.

Transportation Planner Moore stated staff looked at Crest Valley and Jett Road both as possible collectors and both ended up coming off the review process.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

1. Proposed Ordinance to amend Chapter 54, Article III, Section 54-51 to add a subsection (c) setting forth the deduction allowed the collector of the hotel-motel tax.

City Attorney Wendell Willard stated this is a simple matter that the City does not have a provision in the ordinance that is under state law and allows the collectors of the hotel/motel tax to receive a portion of the tax, three percent of the 100%, for the cost of doing the processing work. Staff is concerned about it being absent from the ordinance.

Mayor Eva Galambos asked if the City is currently getting the three percent.

City Attorney Willard answered he is not sure about the three percent, but this is something that is required by state law to be in our ordinance.

Mayor Galambos stated if it means a three percent reduction of tourist funds, there will be some unhappiness.
Councilmember Dianne Fries asked if this is an effort to clean up the bookkeeping with Anne Frank.

City Attorney Willard answered no, this is something different.

Councilmember Fries stated she hopes that is all squared away.

City Attorney Willard stated as far as he knows it is.

Councilmember John Paulson asked if this encourages people to collect this tax if they are not collecting it now.

City Attorney Willard stated the concern is that it is not in the ordinance and it is a requirement of the law. They are entitled to that as a provision for collecting the tax as an administrative fee.

Councilmember Fries asked who “they” are.

City Attorney Willard stated “they” are the hotels and motels.

Councilmember Fries asked if they get three percent back of what is collected.

City Attorney Willard stated it is three percent of the 100% that is collected. The City requires a seven percent tax. For example, three percent tax on $100 would give them three dollars.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

Public Works

1. Consideration of Approval of the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) For the MARTA Funded Projects Currently Programmed in the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP).

Transportation Planning Division Manager Garrin Coleman stated this item is for the consideration of an Intergovernmental Agreement with MARTA for the MARTA funded projects. This is related to the $25 million in stimulus funding that ARC arranged for MARTA to use as operations. MARTA decided to fund $25 million worth of projects around Atlanta. Sandy Springs share was $1,750,000 and it was divided into sidewalks, bus shelters, illuminated overhead signage around the transit stops, and one raised median crosswalk south of I-285. This agreement needs to go to MARTA for approval of the projects and then updated in the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), which has already been done. Based on the splits in the IGA, staff is moving forward with the RFP process for the sidewalk design portion. Once the IGA is in place, staff can continue to move forward. Staff brought this forward for Council’s thoughts before sending it to MARTA.

Mayor Eva Galambos stated it is amazing that this has finally come to pass.

Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnerney asked if the date needs to be filled in.

Transportation Planning Division Manager Coleman stated there is no expiration on the funds. Council can set the date for any time they wish.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.
2. Consideration of Approval of the Construction Bids for the PCID Peachtree Dunwoody (I-285 to Abernathy Road) Living Centers Initiative (LCI) Streetscape Project (CSSTP-0006-00(984)).

**Transportation Planning Division Manager Garrin Coleman** stated this project is an extension of the Peachtree Dunwoody Project, which is a LCI project in the CID area. Staff put out a bid and had two bidders, JJE Constructors and Butch Thompson. JJE Constructors had the lowest and most qualified bid at $1,694,059.11. This is an ARA funded project with stimulus funding that the CID is going to be utilizing. GDOT has approved the bid and staff wants to bring it forward to Council for official approval to move forward with the release of the contract.

**Mayor Eva Galambos** asked if this is the fancy sidewalk project and where it is located.

**Councilmember John Paulson** stated it is from I-285 to Mount Vernon.

Transportation Planning Division Manager Coleman answered correct, the Peachtree Dunwoody stretch.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

**Grant Administrator**


**Grant Administrator Eden Freeman** stated, at the most recent Council meeting Councilmember Meinzen McEnery had a guest speak about the recycling programs and how the City could encourage using them. It was suggested that the City might like to have a condition on the special events permit process requiring or encouraging recycling. Staff has taken an additional look at that issue and provided Council with a questions handout and would like Council to review the handout and advise staff how to proceed.

**Mayor Galambos** stated there are seven questions and Council does not want to take the time to go through the seven questions this evening. She asked Grant Administrator Freeman if Council could have time to answer the questions and then return them to her for collation and results.

Grant Administrator Freeman responded that would be wonderful and agreed to send Council an email with the questions attached.

**Councilmember Dianne Fries** stated from Council discussion they all like the idea of recycling, it is a matter of making it mandatory or not.

**Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery** asked if there is a threshold for food and beverage per person. She asked staff to provide guidance on that question.

Councilmember Fries stated based on her experience with non-profits, there are four events that she would categorize as being over 1500 people. Those include the festival, the bike race, and two separate foot races.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnery stated there will also be events at the entertainment lawn.

Councilmember Fries stated the question is whether to hit just the big guys or go down to the entertainment lawn with participation around the two hundred person range, which is what the schools and churches have.
Councilmember Meinzen McEnery stated her idea is anything that requires a special event permit is going to be subject to the City encouraging recycling. That should be the initial standard.

Councilmember Fries stated if there is a consensus of Council for encouraging, it should be done on all events. If it is mandatory, then she might have a different opinion.

Mayor Galambos stated there should be enough information from the questions handout to come to a consensus.

2. Policy Regarding Requests for Assistance from Non-profit Entities.

Grant Administrator Eden Freeman stated after the last Council Meeting the City Manager asked her to put together a draft policy that would cover requests for non-monetary assistance from the City. She provided Council with two handouts. The first was the current resolution, 2007-08-41, and she included a summary of what the resolution covers. The second handout was a draft policy statement that states the City encourages non-profit and civic involvement and provided clarification of what actions the City will take in response to requests for assistance.

Mayor Eva Galambos stated Council would take the items with them to review, as they have not had ample time to do so.

City Manager John McDonough stated this item is to establish a policy so Council does not have to review these requests on a case by case basis.

Councilmember Dianne Fries asked Director of Communications Judy Parker if there is unlimited space for events in the date blocks of the Community Calendar on the website.

Director of Communications Judy Parker answered yes.

Councilmember John Paulson asked if Resolution 2007-08-41 is being amended with this new policy.

Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery answered yes, it is to clarify and broaden.

Councilmember Paulson stated then this is the current policy and the second attachment contains the suggested changes.

Grant Administrator Freeman stated the current policy is really silent on requests for non-monetary assistance. This will provide additional clarification.

There was a consensus of Council to move forward with this item.

City Council Discussion Items

1. Discussion on an Ordinance to Prohibit Certain Parking in the City of Sandy Springs.

Councilmember Karen Meinzen McEnery thanked City Attorney Cecil McLendon for working on this item. The item is to make two word changes in the ordinance to prohibit certain parking. The Ordinance puts a seventy-two hour parking time limit on abandoned vehicles. The police will put a sticker on a vehicle and if the vehicle is still there after seventy-two hours, the car is towed. There is a situation that has occurred where the homeowner’s properly tagged vehicle has been parked in front of his house for over a year. The vehicle hasn’t moved for over a year. She has received several complaints
about the vehicle. It is a quality of life issue. The proposal before Council is not whether or not the vehicle is dysfunctional. The ordinance will limit any vehicle, excluding trucks, from parking in the right-of-way of the City for longer than seventy-two hours. If that occurs, then the neighbors can object and make a phone call. The police could then regulate parking in the right-of-ways. The Police could come and tag a car and then in seventy-two hours have the opportunity to tow the vehicle.

Councilmember Tibby DeJulio asked for clarification on tagging and towing the car.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnerny stated if the police feel the car has been parked for a long period of time, they will make a note. In seventy-two hours they will come back and if the car is still there, they will put a sticker on it stating the owner has seventy-two hours to move it, because parking is not allowed in the City’s right-of-way for longer than seventy-two hours. In her view, the City’s right-of-way is not for the permanent parking of vehicles. The City’s ordinance is loose enough for this nuisance to have existed for over a year on this particular street. The police feel, with the City Attorney’s agreement, that they do not have enough teeth in the ordinance to require that person to relocate the car back onto his property.

Mayor Eva Galambos asked what street the issue is on.

Councilmember Meinzen McEnerny answered Huntley.

Police Chief Terry Sult stated the proposed change would operate as Councilmember Meinzen McEnerny stated. The difficulty comes with the time frame. What is the appropriate length of time for this to come into play? Obviously, the police will be complaint driven. There is no way the police can drive the City streets and be responsible for every car that parks on the side of the road. The second thing is there are some areas in the City that have no other option. If there is more than one vehicle, then one of those vehicles has to be parked on the street. It is a policy decision as to what Council wants to do and what the appropriate length of time should be. With this one vehicle on Huntley the ordinance currently says it has to be inoperable before the police can do something about it. Typically, cities look at if the vehicle is properly registered, inspected, or any signs that the vehicle is inoperable before taking action. This particular vehicle has been parked there and the police went out this week, but it still has not been moved. This one vehicle has been problematic and this could be an issue going forward if the ordinance is not revised.

Mayor Galambos asked how many such instances, other than this one vehicle, have come to his attention.

Police Chief Sult answered none.

Councilmember Fries stated Council started off messing with this ordinance because of the tractor trailers. She asked Councilmember DeJulio to knock on the person’s door and ask him to move the car.

Councilmember Tibby DeJulio stated he would do so.

Councilmember Fries suggested not moving forward with this item until Councilmember DeJulio attempts to reach the owner.

There being no further discussion, the meeting adjourned at 8:32 p.m.
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